1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 VINCENT E. COFIELD, No. C 13-1421 JSW (PR) 11 Plaintiff, ORDER OF SERVICE 12 v. 13 LINDSAY B. WALSH. 14 Defendant. 15 INTRODUCTION 16 17 Plaintiff, a California prisoner at the California Training Facility ("CTF"), filed 18 this pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. His application to proceed in 19 forma pauperis is granted in a separate order. The complaint is ordered served upon 20 Defendant. STANDARD OF REVIEW 21 22 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires only "a short and plain statement 23 of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." "Specific facts are not 24 necessary; the statement need only "give the defendant fair notice of what the claim is and the grounds upon which it rests."" Erickson v. Pardus, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 25 26 (2007) (citations omitted). Although in order to state a claim a complaint "does not need 27 detailed factual allegations, . . . a plaintiff's obligation to provide the 'grounds of his 28 'entitle[ment] to relief' requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do. . . . Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964-65 (2007) (citations omitted). A complaint must proffer "enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 1974. Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

LEGAL CLAIMS

Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Lindsay B. Walsh, a Deputy District Attorney at the Alameda County District Attorney's Office, wrote a memorandum recommending to prison officials that a restriction be placed upon Plaintiff's custody status. This recommendation was based upon events described by Walsh in the memorandum, including Plaintiff's sexual assault of a woman. Plaintiff alleges that Walsh's recommendation has caused him to suffer restrictions in prison and to be moved to an institution far from family members. Plaintiff claims that Walsh's recommendation violates his plea agreement and his right to due process. When liberally construed, the claims are cognizable.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set out above, the Court orders as follows:

1. The Clerk of the Court shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the complaint and all attachments thereto, and a copy of this order upon Defendant Deputy District Attorney Lindsay B. Walsh.

The Clerk shall also mail a courtesy copy of the complaint with all attachments thereto, and this order to the Alameda County Counsel's Office.

The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on Plaintiff.

- 2. Defendants shall file an answer to the complaint in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
 - 3. In order to expedite the resolution of this case:
- a. No later than **91 days** from the date this order is filed, defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. If defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so inform the court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due. All papers filed with the court shall be promptly served on the plaintiff.
- b. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion, if any, shall be filed with the court and served upon defendants no later than **28 days** from the date of service of the motion. Plaintiff must read the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING," which is provided to him pursuant to *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and *Klingele v. Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).

If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss claiming that plaintiff failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), plaintiff should take note of the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)," which is provided to him as required by *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied, Alameida v. Wyatt*, 124 S.Ct 50 (2003).

- c. Defendants **shall** file a reply brief no later than **14 days** after the date of service of the opposition.
- d. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.
- e. Along with their motion, defendants shall proof that they served plaintiff the applicable warning(s) required by *Woods v. Carey*, No. 09-15548, slip op. 7871 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012) and/or *Stratton v. Buck*, No. 10-35656, slip op. 11477 (9th Cir. Sept. 19, 2012), at the same time they served him with their motion. Failure to do so will result in the summary dismissal of their motion without prejudice.

- 4. All communications by the plaintiff with the court must be served on defendant, or defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to defendant or defendant's counsel.
- 5. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16-1 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.
- 6. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 2, 2013

JEFREY S. WHITE
United States District Judge

NOTICE -- WARNING (SUMMARY JUDGMENT)

If defendants move for summary judgment, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)

If defendants file a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. If the motion is granted it will end your case and there will be no trial.

A motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies is similar to a motion for summary judgment in that the court will consider materials beyond the pleadings. You have the right to present any evidence you may have which tends to show that you did exhaust your administrative remedies. Such evidence may be in the form of declarations (statements signed under penalty of perjury) or authenticated documents, that is, documents accompanied by a declaration showing where they came from and why they are authentic, or other sworn papers, such as answers to interrogatories or depositions. In considering a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies, the court can decided disputed factual matters with regard to the exhaustion question. Because the court can resolve factual disputes, unlike a summary judgment opposition, it is not enough to merely show a genuine issue of material fact in opposition to the motion to dismiss.

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	WINGENEE COFFEE D
6	VINCENT E. COFIELD, Case Number: CV13-01421 JSW
7	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
8	V.
9	LINDSAY B. WALSH et al,
10	Defendant.
11	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. Distric
12	Court, Northern District of California.
13	That on May 2, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing
14	said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.
15	receptacie iocatea in the Cicik's office.
16	
17	Vincent E. Cofield #G31012
18	HDSP P.O. Box 3030
19	Susanville, CA 96127 Dated: May 2, 2013
20	Dated: May 2, 2013 Rickard W. Wieking, Clerk
21	By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	